

## The Long View: The end of normality

By Philip Coggan, Investment Editor

Published: July 2 2004 18:02 | Last Updated: July 2 2004 18:02



The wild behaviour of markets and investors has attracted the interest of the finest academic minds. They are starting to tear up some of the standard "rules" that had been thought to apply to market movements.

Best known are the assaults on efficient market theory, which states that prices reflect all known information and are set by rational investors. The behavioural finance school has shown that investors have psychological biases that affect their ability to make decisions. Prices can thus depart from fundamentals.

The pattern of price movements is also unusual, as a soon-to-be-published book\* by the mathematician Benoit Mandelbrot shows. The standard assumption is that financial markets resemble a "bell curve", or normal distribution. The curve fits some data quite well - the heights of American men, for example, or the results of coin-tossing sequences. But it does not fit the pattern of financial markets. Real trading has far more extreme events - "fat tails" in the jargon - than the bell curve would suggest.

Mandelbrot cites a Citibank study of the foreign exchange markets that saw a 7.9 per cent daily change in the dollar/yen rate, 10.7 times the standard deviation of the data. "Not if Citibank had been trading dollars and yen every day since the Big Bang 15bn years ago should it have happened - not once," he writes.

To use another example, the dollar fell substantially against the yen between 1986 and 2003, and nearly half that decline occurred on just 10 of the 4,695 trading days. In other words 46 per cent of the fall occurred in just 0.21 per cent of the trading time.

This is a problem for many financial models, which assume that markets operate on a bell curve basis. (The bell curve assumption makes the calculations much easier.) The result is that markets are far more risky than people think, as the founders of the US hedge fund Long-Term Capital Management found in 1998.

One of the wonderful things about the book is that the reader gets a clear picture of the history of financial theory before Mandelbrot proceeds to demolish it. This makes the first half of the book the best financial read since Nassim Nicholas Taleb's *Fooled by Randomness*.

If the standard assumptions are wrong, what is the right answer? Mandelbrot argues that financial markets display "fractal" patterns - geometric shapes in which a small part is a replica of the whole. An obvious example in nature is the cauliflower.

Furthermore, volatility tends to "cluster", rather like London buses, instead of being spread evenly throughout the data. The fractal structure means that a "power law" is in operation, creating a relationship between the size of the market movements and the frequency of their occurrence. Finally, and perhaps most controversially, markets have long-term "memory", so that each result is not independent of the last but in fact may be influenced by price movements a long time before.

Regular patterns, long-term "waves" - these would appear to be evidence to justify technical analysis. Indeed, some chartists do cite fractal patterns as the basis of their work.

But Mandelbrot rejects their approaches. Talking of technical analysis, Mandelbrot says: "It beggars belief that vast sums can change hands on the basis of such financial astrology". The problem is that "the power of chance suffices to create spurious patterns and pseudo-cycles that, for all the world, appear predictable and bankable. Likewise, bubbles and crashes are inherent to markets. They are the inevitable consequence of the human need to find patterns in the pattern-less".

Although patterns do exist, they cannot be predicted, he writes. "I agree with the orthodox economists that stock prices are probably not predictable in any useful sense of the term."

Naturally, this leads to the question of whether Mandelbrot's insights are of any use. These issues were being discussed in the mid 1990s at conferences organised by the Swiss financier Richard Olsen (who features in the Mandelbrot book). But although many academics and finance professionals were aware of them, that did not prevent the dotcom bubble from developing and bursting.

*\* The Misbehavior of Markets: A Fractal View of Risk, Ruin and Reward, by Benoit Mandelbrot and Richard Hudson. To be published by Basic Books in August.*

*philip.coggan@ft.com*